



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

09/884,553

06/18/2001

Robert Wasthuber

56/353

2380

7590

01/25/2005

JOHN C. FREEMAN  
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE  
P.O. BOX 10395  
CHICAGO, IL 60610

EXAMINER

LE, VIET Q

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2667

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                              |                        |                     |  |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Office Action Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|                              | 09/884,553             | WASTLHUBER ET AL.   |  |
|                              | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|                              | Viet Q. Le             | 2667                |  |

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 October 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/18/2001</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Specification*

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The title of the application shall not appear in the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-10, 16-21, 28-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bielski et al. (U.S. 5,687,103), hereinafter referred to as Bielski.

Regarding claims 1, 3, 29 and 30, Bielski disclosed a method or device for serial data transmission between a position measuring system and a processing unit (See Fig. 1, blocks 100 and 400), comprising: transmitting position data and further data between said position measuring system and said processing unit in serial form as digital data words (Measuring device transmit the angle position as a binary data word to the processing unit. See column 3, lines 3-6); transmitting up-to-date position data between

Art Unit: 2667

said position measuring system and said processing unit upon transmission of a position request command (commands from the processing units are sent to the position measuring device to retrieve current data. Status command A is used in the example of this reference. See column 3, lines 40-54 and 58-64); and always transmitting further data, whose processing is not time-critical, immediately following said transmitting said up-to-date position data (Other data are always transmitted between the processing units and the position measuring device in addition to the position data. See column 2, lines 4-5).

Regarding claims 2 and 4, Bielski disclosed the method, wherein said further data is transmitted between the position measuring system and the processing unit (See Fig. 1, blocks 100 and 400).

Regarding claim 5-7 and 9, Bielski disclosed the method, further comprising transmitting said up-to-date position data and said position request command in the form of digital data words of a pre-determined word length, or as data packets comprising digital data words (Sampling signals are amplified and converted into digital signals for a binary word. See column 3, lines 3-12).

Regarding claim 8 and 10, Bielski disclosed the method, wherein said additional non-time-critical data comprises additional data and additional data commands (Besides the position data, there is also other data request commands and other corresponding data to these data requests like status commands and their responses to commands A-F. See column 3, lines 59-67; See column 4, lines 1-42).

Regarding claim 16 and 31, Bielski disclosed the method, wherein all data transmitted between said position measuring system and said processing unit are transmitted over a common data channel (See column 2, lines 23-24).

Regarding claim 17, Bielski disclosed the method, wherein data transmitted from said position measuring system to said processing unit are transmitted via a first data channel, and said data transmitted from said processing unit to said position measuring system are transmitted via second data channel (See Fig. 1, lines 500).

Regarding claim 18, 19 and 33, Bielski disclosed the method; further comprising storing said non-time-critical data (See Fig. 1, block 900; See column 4, lines 1-2).

Regarding claim 20 and 34, Bielski disclosed the method, further comprising storing non-time-critical data transmitted by said position measuring system in a second memory unit of said processing unit (Status command D can be used to send saved parameters at the processing unit to the position measurement device. See column 4, lines 33-35).

Regarding claims 21, Bielski disclosed the method, further comprising transmitting memory unit status data, which contain at least information regarding an actual memory status of a memory unit (Using command B, one can read or write data into memory. See column 4, lines 1-21).

Regarding claim 28, Bielski disclosed the method, wherein with said transmitting of either of said digital data words or data packets, a data word identification is transmitted, which unequivocally identifies a beginning and type of digital data word or data packet (Start bit is used to identify the beginning of the word. Different types of

Art Unit: 2667

parameters were also described. See column 4, lines 36-37, 55; See column 5, lines 25-26; See column 6, lines 53-67).

Regarding claim 32, Bielski disclosed the device, further comprising a first data channel and a second channel for transmitting data between said position measuring system and said processing unit, wherein said first data channel transmits data in a first direction and said second data channel transmits data in a direction opposite to said first direction (See Fig. 1, lines 500).

Regarding claim 35, Bielski disclosed the device, wherein said control unit comprises a processor (The figure describe the position measuring device including control circuitry and processor circuitry. See Fig. 1, block 100).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bielski in view of Kurten (DE 4005087 C1).

Regarding claim 11-15, Bielski disclosed a method or device for serial data transmission between a position measuring system and a processing unit (See Fig. 1, blocks 100 and 400), comprising: transmitting up-to-date position data from the position

Art Unit: 2667

measuring system to the processing unit upon receiving the position request command from the processing unit (commands from the processing units are sent to the position measuring device to retrieve current data. Status command A is used in the example of this reference. See column 3, lines 40-54 and 58-64); and transmitting further data, whose processing is not time-critical, immediately following transmission of position data or position request commands (Other data are always transmitted between the processing units and the position measuring device in addition to the position data or position request commands. See column 2, lines 4-5).

Bielski, however, fails to disclose the ability of interrupting the transmission of non-time-critical data upon detecting a position data request command.

Kurten teaches the ability of immediately interrupting processing a current process in responding to a more priority processing command and continuing with the current process once the more priority or urgent processing command is completed at a later time (See column 2, lines 38-42).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bielski method to incorporate the interrupting feature, the motivation being that by incorporating the interrupting feature, a more urgent data can be responded immediately for parameters that are time sensitive and continuing with the non-time sensitive at a later time.

6. Claims 22-24, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bielski in view of Kent (U.S. 5,371,859).

Regarding claims 22-24 and 26, Bielski, disclosed a method or device for serial data transmission between a position measuring system and a processing unit (See Fig. 1, blocks 100 and 400), comprising: transmitting up-to-date position data from the position measuring system to the processing unit upon receiving the position request command from the processing unit (commands from the processing units are sent to the position measuring device to retrieve current data. Status command A is used in the example of this reference. See column 3, lines 40-54 and 58-64); and transmitting further data, whose processing is not time-critical, immediately following transmission of position data or position request commands (Other data are always transmitted between the processing units and the position measuring device in addition to the position data or position request commands. See column 2, lines 4-5).

Bielski, however, fails to disclose different position request commands can be assigned with different processing priorities.

Kent teaches the ability of assigning different levels of priority to a message and messages are processed in the order of assigned priority levels (See column 7, lines 10-24).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bielski method to assign different priority levels to position request commands, the motivation being that by processing position request commands depending on different levels of priorities, one can assure that data that are more critical for control purposes can processed immediately before other parameters.



Art Unit: 2667

7. Claims 25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bielski in view of Kurten (DE 4005087 C1) and in further view of (Kent (U.S. 5,371,859).

Regarding claim 25 and 27, Bielski, disclosed a method or device for serial data transmission between a position measuring system and a processing unit (See Fig. 1, blocks 100 and 400), comprising: transmitting up-to-date position data from the position measuring system to the processing unit upon receiving the position request command from the processing unit (commands from the processing units are sent to the position measuring device to retrieve current data. Status command A is used in the example of this reference. See column 3, lines 40-54 and 58-64); and transmitting further data, whose processing is not time-critical, immediately following transmission of position data or position request commands (Other data are always transmitted between the processing units and the position measuring device in addition to the position data or position request commands. See column 2, lines 4-5).

Bielski, however, failed to disclose the ability of interrupting the transmission of non-time-critical data upon detecting a position data request command and failed to disclose different position request commands can be assigned with different processing priorities.

Kurten teaches the ability of immediately interrupting processing a current process in responding to a more priority processing command and continuing with the current process once the more priority or urgent processing command is completed at a later time (See column 2, lines 38-42).

Art Unit: 2667

Kent teaches the ability of assigning different levels of priority to a message and messages are processed in the order of assigned priority levels (See column 7, lines 10-24).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Bielski method to incorporating the interrupting feature and to assign different priority levels to position request commands, the motivation being that by incorporating an interrupting feature, one can stop the current process and proceed with a more urgent and more priority request for a more time sensitive parameter.

### ***Conclusion***


8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Q. Le whose telephone number is 571-272-2246. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM -5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Ngo can be reached on 571-272-3139. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2667

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

vi



**RICKY NGO**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**